

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 2713-1450

			\ 1		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,868	02/13/2002	Josef Schmid	3	9427	
759	7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)			TABONE JR, JOHN J		
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords corner Road			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER	
	Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			, 2138	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/075,868	SCHMID, JOSEF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Tabone, Jr.	2138				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 September 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2138

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 22-28 are pending in the current application and have been examined.

Claim 22 has been amended. Claims 1-21 have been previously cancelled.

2. The Examiner has withdrawn the claim objections and the 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections due to Applicant's arguments and amendments filed 07/20/2006.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/29/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 22-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Claim 22:

This claim recites the limitation "the test data output port" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 23:

This claim recites the limitation "the test data output port" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

This claim recites the limitation "the delay measurement" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al. (US-6314539), hereinafter Jacobson, in view of Whetsel (US-5710779), hereinafter Whetsel, in further view of Kundu, (US-5796751), hereinafter Kundu. Claim 22:

Jacobson teaches BSR cell 800 includes a test data input (TDI) terminal (SI), a SYSTEM DATA IN terminal, an input multiplexer (MUX) 810, a shift register flip-flop 820 (a storage layer between a scan input port (SI) and a scan output port (SO)), a test data output (TDO) terminal (SO), a parallel latch 830, an output MUX 840 and a SYSTEM

Page 4

Art Unit: 2138

DATA OUT terminal. (Col. 9, lines 59-63). Jacobson also teaches bypass MUX 850 includes a first input terminal connected to the TDI terminal, a second input terminal connected to the output terminal of shift register flip-flop 820, and an output terminal connected to the TDO terminal that is used to shift data signals along the BSR. Jacobson further teaches when select control circuit 855 transmits a second (e.g., low) signal (the combinational circuit does not interfere with the operation of the scan chain ...), bypass MUX 850 passes signals directly from the TDI terminal, thereby bypassing input MUX 810 and shift register flip-flop 820, effectively by programming bypass MUX 850 to pass data signals directly from the TDI terminal to the TDO terminal (applying a test signal data signal to a test data input for boundary scan testing). (Fig. 2 and 3, Col. 10, lines 15-29).

Jacobson does not explicitly teach "a separate delay chain output port (DCO) which is output of a local combinational path from the end of the scan chain and which is additional to the test data output port". However, Jacobson does teach that the PLD 1100 is configured to perform Boundary-Scan Test procedures where BSR cells that are used by neither first logic function 1118(A) nor second logic function 1118(B) are effectively removed from the BSR by programming the bypass circuits of these BSR cells to pass signals directly from their TDI terminals to their TDO terminals. (Col. 13, lines 22-29, FIG. 11A). Whetsel teaches in an analogous art the use of an additional test output pin (or terminal) TO is added to the IC to output data (a separate delay chain output port (DCO) ... which is output of a local combinational path from the end of the scan chain and which is additional to the test data output port) during

observation and bypass modes of a selected scan path where the TO pin is 3-state (maintaining the test data input at operating voltage) so that multiple ICs can have a bussed TO connection at the board level. (Col. 5, 26-31, FIG. 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jacobson's TDO output to add Whetsel's test output pin (or terminal) TO. The artisan would have been motivated to do so because the additional test output terminal TO would enable Jacobson to control the delay chain output with the 3-state buffer.

Jacobsen in view of Whetsel does not explicitly teach "measuring the time interval for transmission of a signal to determine the performance of the integrated circuit". However, Whetsel teaches the capability to view the IC's I/O activity in real time for determining the performance of the integrated circuit. (Col. 4, II. 34-48). Kundu teaches in an analogous art a low cost, efficient and accurate method of sorting integrated circuits based upon their maximum operating frequency. More particularly, Kundu measures the incremental time required for a test signal to be flushed through a level sensitive scan design (LSSD) circuit (measuring the time interval for transmission of a signal). Kundu's test method measures scan flush delay in the integrated circuit in order to measure the frequency of the circuit (to determine the performance of the integrated circuit). Kundu further teaches an on-chip counter and a free running reference clock which can be used to measure the flush delay time period. (Col. 1, I. 66 to col. 2, I. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method and apparatus of Jacobsen in view of Whetsel to include Kundu's method and apparatus measure the

Art Unit: 2138

flush delay time period. The artisan would be motivated to do so because it would enable Jacobsen in view of Whetsel to further enhance the testing and observation of I/O signal activity to include a low cost, efficient and accurate method of sorting integrated circuits based upon their maximum operating frequency.

Claim 23:

Jacobson teaches the variable length BSR of PLD 1100 has an effective length of 10 BSR cells, which is less than half of the maximum length (27 BSR cells) of the BSR where the reduced-length BSR facilitates significantly faster Boundary-Scan Test procedures (performing the delay measurement at the test output port (TDO) for boundary scan testability) over conventional fixed-length BSRs because significantly less data is required. (Col. 13, lines 22-51, FIG. 11A).

Claim 24:

Jacobson teaches BSR cell 800 includes a test data input (TDI) terminal (SI), a SYSTEM DATA IN terminal, an input multiplexer (MUX) 810, a shift register flip-flop 820 (a storage layer between a scan input port (SI) and a scan output port (SO)), a test data output (TDO) terminal (SO), a parallel latch 830, an output MUX 840 and a SYSTEM DATA OUT terminal (scan cells forming the scan chain have a storage layer between a scan input port and an output port). (Col. 9, lines 59-63). Jacobson also teaches bypass MUX 850 (a multiplexer, connected to the output of the additional combinational path...) includes a first input terminal connected to the TDI terminal (an additional combinational path...), a second input terminal connected to the output terminal of shift register flip-flop 820, and an output terminal connected to the TDO

Art Unit: 2138

terminal that is used to shift data signals along the BSR. (Col. 10, lines 15-19). Jacobson further teaches a PLD 1100 that is configured to perform Boundary-Scan Test procedures where BSR cells that are used by neither first logic function 1118(A) nor second logic function 1118(B) are effectively removed from the BSR by programming the bypass circuits of these BSR cells to pass signals directly from their TDI terminals to their TDO terminals (connecting the scan input port (SI) of a first scan cell to a test data input port (TDI) for boundary scan testing and the scan output port (SO) of a scan cell forming the end of the scan chain via a test data output path to a test data output port (TDO) for boundary scan testing). (Col. 13, lines 22-29, FIG. 11A). Jacobson does not explicitly teach connecting the output port (SO) of a boundary scan cell forming the end of the scan chain to a separate delay chain output port (DCO). However, Jacobson does teach that the PLD 1100 is configured to perform Boundary-Scan Test procedures where BSR cells that are used by neither first logic function 1118(A) nor second logic function 1118(B) are effectively removed from the BSR by programming the bypass circuits of these BSR cells to pass signals directly from their TDI terminals to their TDO terminals (output port of a boundary scan cell forming the end of the scan chain). (Col. 13, lines 22-29, FIG. 11A). Whetsel teaches the use of an additional test output pin (or terminal) TO is added to the IC to output data (the separate delay chain output port (DCO)) during observation and bypass modes of a selected scan path where the TO pin is 3-state so that multiple ICs can have a bussed TO connection at the board level. (Col. 5, 26-31, FIG. 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify

Art Unit: 2138

Jacobson's TDO output to add Whetsel's test output pin (or terminal) TO. The artisan would have been motivated to do so because the additional test output terminal TO would enable Jacobson to control the delay chain output with the 3-state buffer.

Claim 25:

"providing of the at least one boundary scan cell according to the IEEE Standard 1149.1"

Jacobson teaches in FIG. 2 a detailed block diagram showing an example of the basic hardware elements provided on an IEEE Standard 1149.1 compliant PLD where the basic hardware elements include a test access port (TAP) 210, a TAP controller 220, an instruction register (IR) 230, an instruction decode circuit 235, a test data register circuit 240, an output multiplexer (MUX) 250, an output flip-flop 260 and a tristate buffer 270. Jacobson also teaches TAP 210 provides access to the test support functions build into an IEEE Standard 1149.1 compliant PLD and includes three input connections for receiving the test clock input (TCK) signal, the test mode select (TMS) signal, and the test data input (TDI) signal (providing of the at least one boundary scan cell according to the IEEE Standard 1149.1). (Col. 3, lines 43-61).

Claim 26:

Jacobson teaches bypass MUX 850 includes a first input terminal connected to the TDI terminal, a second input terminal connected to the output terminal of shift register flip-flop 820, and an output terminal connected to the TDO terminal that is used to shift data signals along the BSR. Jacobson also teaches when select control circuit 855 transmits a second (e.g., low) signal, bypass MUX 850 passes signals directly from

the TDI terminal, thereby bypassing input MUX 810 and shift register flip-flop 820, effectively by programming bypass MUX 850 to pass data signals directly from the TDI terminal (SI) to the TDO terminal (SO) (**implementing a local path between said respective two scan ports...**) by bypassing the respective storage layer of a boundary scan cell). (Col. 10, lines 15-29).

Claim 27:

Jacobson teaches bypass MUX 850 includes a first input terminal connected to the TDI terminal, a second input terminal connected to the output terminal of shift register flip-flop 820, and an output terminal connected to the TDO terminal that is used to shift data signals along the BSR. Jacobson also teaches when select control circuit 855 transmits a second (e.g., low) signal, bypass MUX 850 passes signals directly from the TDI terminal, thereby bypassing input MUX 810 and shift register flip-flop 820, effectively by programming bypass MUX 850 to pass data signals directly from the TDI terminal to the TDO terminal (combinational path is connected to the scan output port via a multiplexer controlled by the shift signal from a test access port controller). (Col. 10, lines 15-29).

6. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson et al. (US-6314539), hereinafter Jacobson, in view of Whetsel (5710779), hereinafter Whetsel, in further view of Kundu, (US-5796751), hereinafter Kundu, in even further view of Abadir et al. (US-2002/0112213), hereinafter Abadir.

Claim 28:

Jacobson does not explicitly teach "the combinational path (BP) is defined as a false path during synthesizing of the scan chain". Abadir teaches a design analysis tool and method of use for false timing path identification for industrial circuits, both on the integrated circuit (IC) scale as well as a board level. (Page 4, ¶21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use Abadir's design analysis tool and method to synthesize Jacobson's boundary scan circuit to set false path information for the combinational path (BP). The artisan would have been motivated to do so because, as a result of Abadir's design analysis tool and method, engineering resources could be preserved by minimizing wasteful efforts spent on optimizing false timing paths. Furthermore, the artisan would have been motivated to do so because Abadir's design analysis tool and method eliminates the creation of unnecessary circuit area, the dissipation of additional power, and reduction in performance which is typically associated with the optimization of false paths.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Tabone, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John **6**. Tabone, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 2138

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER